

VENDOR QUESTIONS # 4

1. In regard to B.1(b), how will contractors be informed of the maximum amount remaining available for the contracts as this amount changes as task orders are issued over the life of the program?

Answer: This is under advisement. However, after award, we expect that this information will be on the DSDC homepage.

2. L.10(a) and L.17.3(i) refer to a Subcontracting Plan to be provided in Volume II - Cost Proposal. L.17.3(k) refers to a Compensation Plan to be provided in Volume II - Cost Proposal. L.16.1(a) indicates that the Cost Proposal is to be contained in Volume III. Is it correct to assume that the Cost Proposal is Volume III and that the Subcontracting Plan and Compensation Plan should be provided in this Volume?

Answer: Yes.

3. L.17.1 indicates 3 sections are in Volume I, while L.17.1.1 specifies 4. Is it correct to assume that 4 sections is correct?

Answer: Yes.

4. In L.17.3 the alphabetical sequence of paragraph does not include (g) and (j). Are these intentionally deleted?

Answer: Paragraphs (g) and (j) are reserved.

5. In L.17.2 the term Software Engineering Capability is inconsistent with the term given in the table at the end of Section L (SPMQ). Which is the correct term to use?

Answer: Software Engineering Capability is the correct term.

6. The requirement for CMM Certification has been deleted from all sections except the table at the end of Section L. Should this be deleted from the table also?

Answer: Yes.

7. In L.16.1.1(a)(4) the file name “v2_sec4.wp”, was it intended to read as follows: “v1_s4.wp”?

Answer: Yes.

8. L.16.1.1(a) lists Sections 1,2,3, and 4 versus L.17.1(a) which states “Volume I shall consist of 3 sections.” Which is correct?

Answer: Four sections is correct.

9. L.17.1.1 Past Performance Project Description - Item 11, Assigned Personnel. Please indicate if you will find the following commonly utilized practices acceptable: 1) Because the contractors referenced will use widely differing labor categories and/or labor category definitions, the Government has often allowed offerors to report personnel numbers and hours supplied against broad, generic labor categories such as “management”, “technical”, “support”, etc.; 2) Because total hours supplied by category is sometimes not available (for example from FFP private sector) or not considered releasable (for example from FFP completion efforts), the Government has often allowed offerors to provide this information where it is readily available and omit it with proper succinct explanation without prejudice where it is not available.

Answer: It is acceptable to list in generic category without prejudice as long as there is a reasonable justification within the project description.